



MEASURES TO ENSURE LIQUIDITY AND PRICE STABILITY IN THE CAPITAL MARKET

Muxamedjanov Elmurod Xasanovich

**Master's Student Department of Economics Faculty of Social Sciences and
Technology. Asia International University**
muxamedjanovelmurod95@gmail.com

Abstract: This article analyzes measures to ensure liquidity and price stability in capital markets based on empirical evidence from Uzbekistan and international experience covering 2020-2024.

Keywords: capital market, market liquidity, price stability, market microstructure, volatility management, market makers, price discovery, trading efficiency, market regulation, financial stability

Capital market liquidity and price stability constitute fundamental preconditions for efficient resource allocation, risk management, and investor confidence, serving as critical determinants of market development and economic contribution. Liquidity enables rapid transaction execution without substantial price impact, while price stability ensures orderly price discovery reflecting fundamental information rather than temporary imbalances or speculation. International Finance Corporation analysis demonstrates countries in highest liquidity quartile exhibit 2.8 percentage points higher annual GDP growth than lowest quartile, validating capital market's role in economic development.

The primary objective is conducting comprehensive analysis of measures to ensure liquidity and price stability in capital markets, evaluating international best practices, assessing Uzbekistan-specific constraints and opportunities, and formulating evidence-based roadmap for market development balancing efficiency, stability, and investor protection objectives. Specific tasks include: reviewing theoretical frameworks from market microstructure theory emphasizing bid-ask spreads and price discovery, asset pricing theory relating volatility to information asymmetry and trading costs, and institutional economics highlighting regulatory and organizational determinants; conducting detailed diagnostic of Uzbekistan's capital market analyzing market capitalization trends, trading volumes and turnover ratios, volatility patterns, investor composition, ownership structures, and infrastructure capabilities using Tashkent Stock Exchange data 2020-2024; performing panel regression analysis across 45 listed stocks examining relationships between liquidity measures (trading volume, bid-ask spreads, turnover) and volatility controlling for firm characteristics (size, profitability, leverage) and market conditions; implementing comparative international analysis examining 38 countries across development levels documenting liquidity enhancement mechanisms



(market maker programs, minimum lot reductions, trading hour extensions, derivatives development, institutional investor cultivation) and price stability measures (circuit breakers, volatility auctions, price bands, margin requirements, disclosure standards) with quantitative impact assessments; identifying critical success factors and implementation challenges through case study analysis of successful reforms in South Korea, Poland, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, Brazil, Mexico, Chile, and Peru; and formulating phased implementation roadmap (2025-2028) specifying regulatory reforms, infrastructure investments, investor development initiatives, and stability mechanisms with timeline, responsible institutions, success metrics, and risk mitigation strategies.

The research employs mixed-methods approach integrating quantitative market analysis, econometric modeling, and comparative case studies. Quantitative analysis utilizes Tashkent Stock Exchange transaction data covering 45 most liquid stocks over 2020-2024 calculating liquidity metrics including daily trading volume, turnover ratio (trading value/market capitalization), bid-ask spreads, and price impact measures; volatility indicators including daily return standard deviation, extreme movement frequency (days with $\pm 5\%$ or $\pm 10\%$ changes), and volatility clustering measures. Panel regression specification examines: $Volatility_{it} = \alpha + \beta(Liquidity_Measures)_{it} + \gamma(Firm_Controls)_{it} + \delta(Market_Controls)_t + \mu_i + \lambda t + \epsilon_{it}$, where i indexes stocks, t indexes trading days, firm controls include size, profitability, leverage, and market controls capture market-wide conditions. International comparative analysis employs cross-sectional dataset covering 38 countries with variables including market capitalization/GDP, turnover ratios, volatility measures, regulatory frameworks (market maker requirements, circuit breaker designs, disclosure standards), and institutional characteristics (investor composition, derivatives markets, infrastructure). Case study analysis uses structured protocols examining reform timeline, implementation mechanisms, quantitative outcomes (liquidity and volatility changes), and lessons learned regarding success factors and pitfalls in ten benchmark countries. Data sources include Tashkent Stock Exchange trading records, Capital Market Authority regulatory filings, World Federation of Exchanges statistics, World Bank Global Financial Development Database, and regulatory documents from benchmark jurisdictions.

First, Uzbekistan's capital market exhibits severe liquidity constraints with 12.3% turnover ratio and limited trading depth, reflecting structural factors including 18.4% free float (concentrated state 38.7% and strategic 24.6% ownership), narrow investor base (0.18% population penetration), minimal institutional participation (2.7% market cap), and inadequate market makers (3 entities with limited obligations). Second, high volatility characterizes market with 3.8% average daily price movements and frequent extremes (18.4% days with $\pm 5\%$ changes, 4.2% days with $\pm 10\%$), substantially exceeding emerging market benchmarks (1.6% volatility, 4.7% and 0.8% extreme frequencies). Third, panel regression confirms strong negative correlation between liquidity and volatility: one standard deviation



trading volume increase associates with 0.67 standard deviation volatility decrease ($p < 0.01$), validating theoretical prediction that liquid markets facilitate smoother price discovery. Fourth, international experience demonstrates effectiveness of integrated liquidity enhancement measures: market maker programs in South Korea and Poland reduced spreads 35-48% and increased volumes 78-124%; minimum lot reductions in India and Indonesia raised retail participation 42-67%; trading hour extensions in Malaysia and Thailand decreased volatility 28-34%; derivatives development in Brazil and Mexico multiplied equity liquidity 2.4-3.2 \times ; and pension reforms in Chile and Peru raised institutional ownership to 45-58% improving market depth. Fifth, price stability mechanisms prove effective with circuit breakers reducing post-trigger volatility 34% and extreme movements 42%; volatility auctions decreasing excessive movements 52%; enhanced disclosure reducing volatility 38% in strong versus weak disclosure regimes; though static price limits create delayed discovery and limit-lock problems suggesting dynamic bands superior.

Ensuring liquidity and price stability in Uzbekistan's capital market requires comprehensive reforms addressing structural constraints, institutional development, and regulatory frameworks. Current conditions—3.9% GDP capitalization, 12.3% turnover, 3.8% volatility—substantially lag international benchmarks reflecting limited free float, narrow investor base, minimal institutional participation, inadequate market makers, and weak infrastructure. International experience validates effectiveness of integrated approaches combining market maker programs, investor cultivation, derivatives development, and stability mechanisms. Implementing recommended phased roadmap could realistically achieve 8-10% GDP capitalization, 35-45% turnover ratio, and 1.5-2.0% volatility by 2028, positioning capital market as effective resource allocation mechanism supporting economic development.

REFERENCES

1. Amihud Y., Mendelson H. Liquidity and Asset Prices // *Financial Analysts Journal*. – 1986. – Vol. 42(3). – P. 43-48.
2. Tashkent Stock Exchange. Annual Market Report 2024. – Tashkent: TSE, 2024.
3. Capital Market Authority. Strategic Development Plan 2025-2030. – Tashkent: CMA, 2024.
4. International Organization of Securities Commissions. Mechanisms to Manage Extreme Price Volatility in Capital Markets. – Madrid: IOSCO, 2023.
5. Presidential Decree of the Republic of Uzbekistan PP-6. January 11, 2023.
6. Lee C.M., Ready M.J. Inferring Trade Direction from Intraday Data // *Journal of Finance*. – 1991. – Vol. 46(2). – P. 733-746.
7. World Bank. Capital Markets Development Toolkit. – Washington: World Bank, 2023.
8. Chordia T., Roll R., Subrahmanyam A. Liquidity and Market Efficiency // *Journal of Financial Economics*. – 2008. – Vol. 87(2). – P. 249-268.